Subject: Comments concerning AB2276

From: Howard McClary hmcclary@cwtozone.com

Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2007 15:27:29 -0700 **To:** aircleaners@listserv.arb.ca.gov

Ms. Peggy L. Jenkins California Air Resources Board Research Division, Fifth Floor 1001 I Street, P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms Jenkins

We watched the March 29, 2007 workshop for AB 2276 via the web cast.

We are in complete support of the draft regulation as written.

The only comments we have concern the UL test procedure.

- 1) The room that the device is tested in needs some amount of air exchanges or it will not be a realistic test. The test calls for 0 to 0.35 /hr. We would propose that the spec be 0.2 to 0.35/hr.
- 2) The Ozone level in the room should be monitored at a greater distance than two inches from the product. We suggest at least two feet.

We look forward to participating in the next workshop

Best Regards

Cameron Tapp Howard McClary

President Director of Engineering

ClearWater Tech ClearWater Tech

1 of 1 4/19/2007 4:08 PM